

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/613,932	07/01/2003	Takahiko Tanahashi	Y-218	4638
	802	7590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
		ND WALTERS		DESTA, ELIAS	
	P. O. BOX 27 PORTLAND.	86 OR 97208-2786		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2857	
			DATE MAILED, 10/10/2004		4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

è		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,		10/613,932	TANAHASHI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Elias Desta	2857			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH THE - Exter - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ja	anuary 2004.				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	ıt(s)					
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/613,932

Art Unit: 2857

Detailed Action

Drawing Objection

- 1. The drawing is objected to because the following minor informalities:
 - > Fig. 16 should have subsection, such as "a" and "b" or the second embodiment (the graph shown) should be labeled as Fig. 17.

Claim rejection - 35 U.S.C. 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. <u>Claims 1-5</u> are directed to non-statutory subject matter, because the claims do not recite any form of technology.

The claims are directed to an abstract idea without practical application, and thus the invention does not fall within the definition of technological arts. The abstract idea expressed in the claims does not become a technological art merely by recitation in the claim of "performing", "dividing", and/or "recovering".

The Examiner observes that neither the specification nor the claims discuss the use of any technology with respect to the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,932

Art Unit: 2857

See <u>Diamond v. Diehr</u>, 450 U.S. 175, 185, 209 USPQ 1, 7(1981); <u>In re Warmerdam</u>, 33 F.3d 1354, 1357, 31 USPQ2d 1754, 1756(Fed. Cir. 1994); <u>AT & T Corp. v. Excel Communications Inc.</u>, 172 F.3d 1352, 1355, 50 USPQ2d 1447, 1449-50(Fed. Cir. 1999); and <u>State Street Bank & Trust Co. v. Signature</u> <u>Fin. Group, Inc.</u>, 149 F.3d 1368, 1374-75, 47 USPQ2d 1596, 1602(Fed. Cir. 1998)

Conclusion

- 4. <u>Citation of pertinent prior art</u>:
 - a. <u>Dimas et al.</u> (U.S. Patent 6,512,999) teaches an apparatus and method for simulating turbulence.
 - b. <u>Weiler et al.</u> (IEEE Article, 'Hardware-Software-Balance Resampling for the Interactive Visualization of Unstructured Grids') teaches a method of re-sampling unstructured volume data on Cartesian grids for achieving interactive visualization.
 - c. <u>Kaup</u> (IEEE Article, 'Object-Based Texture Coding of Moving Video in MPEG-4') teaches segment-based coding for MPEG-4 standardization.
 - d. <u>Clark et al.</u> (IEEE Article, 'Stationary, Non Stationary, and Hybrid Interactive Method of Moments Solution Scheme') teaches

Application/Control Number: 10/613,932

Art Unit: 2857

sparse iterative method (SIM) in order to alleviate the problem associated with segment renumbering.

- e. <u>Minyard et al.</u> (IEEE Article, 'Partitioning and Dynamic Load Balancing of Adaptive Hybrid Grids for Large-Scale Turbulent Flow Simulations') teaches three-dimensional prismatic/tetrahedral meshes.
- f. <u>Yee et al.</u> (IEEE Article, 'Conformal Hybrid Finite Difference Time Domain and Finite Volume') teaches a hybrid method that combines finite difference time domain and finite volume time domain.
- g. <u>Wang et al</u>. (AIAA Article, 'A 2^N Tree Based Automated Viscous Cartesian Grid Methodology for Feature Capturing') teaches unstructured grid-based CFD algorithm for automating fluid flow simulation.
- h. <u>Ham et al.</u> (JOCP Article, 'A Cartesian Grid Method with Transient Anisotropic Adaptation') teaches grid generation process and the ease with which local refinement of the grid with recursive cell-splitting operations.
- 2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elias Desta whose telephone number is (571)-272-2214. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (8:30-7:00).

Art Unit: 2857

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (571)-272-2216. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)-272-1750

Elias Desta Examiner Art Unit 2857

-ed

October 6, 2004

